## HABITATS DIRECTIVE APPROPERIATE ASSESSMENT (AA) SCREENING REPORT

## **STEP 1.** Description of the project/proposal and local site characteristics:

(a)	File Reference No:	Fethard Graveyard Part 8		
(b)	Brief description of the project or plan:	Lawn Cemetery and Associated Works adjoining Fethard Graveyard		
(c)	Brief description of site characteristics:	Site comprises agricultural lands and narrow section of lands along side public road located north of the Calvary Cemetary, Fethard, Co. Tipperary.		
(d)	Relevant prescribed bodies consulted: e.g. DHLGH (NPWS), EPA, OPW	None		
(e)	Response to consultation:	None		

## STEP 2. Identification of relevant Natura 2000 sites using Source-Pathway-Receptor model and compilation of information on Qualifying Interests and conservation objectives.

European Site (code)	List of Qualifying Interest/Special Conservation Interest <sup>1</sup>	Distance from proposed development <sup>2</sup> (km)	Connections (Source- Pathway- Receptor)	Considered further in screening Y/N
002137 Lower River Suir	https://www.npws.ie/protected- sites/sac/002137	Within 10km	None	Yes
002162 River Barrow and Nore SAC	https://www.npws.ie/protected- sites/sac/002162	Within 15km	None	No

## **STEP 3. Assessment of Likely Significant Effects**

(a) Identify all potential direct and indirect impacts that may have an effect on the conservation objectives of a European site, taking into account the size and scale of the project under the following headings:

Impacts:	Possible Significance of Impacts: (duration/magnitude etc.)
<ul> <li>Construction phase e.g.</li> <li>Vegetation clearance</li> <li>Demolition</li> <li>Surface water runoff from soil excavation/infill/landscaping (including borrow pits)</li> <li>Dust, noise, vibration</li> <li>Lighting disturbance</li> <li>Impact on groundwater/dewatering</li> </ul>	Site has weak hydrological connectivity with the Lower River Suir SAC. Indirect drainage pathways link the site to the SAC through the surface water drainage network serving the site however impacts on waters during

<ul> <li>Storage of excavated/construction ma</li> <li>Access to site</li> <li>Pests</li> </ul>	aterials		construction and operation are not anticipated. Information in Hydrology report shows the development will not impact on groundwaters or surface waters. The potential for impacts on the SAC arising from the construction phase of the development is low.		
<ul> <li>Operational phase e.g.</li> <li>Direct emission to air and water</li> <li>Surface water runoff containing containing containing disturbance</li> <li>Noise/vibration</li> <li>Changes to water/groundwater due to Presence of people, vehicles and action</li> <li>Physical presence of structures (e.g.)</li> <li>Potential for accidents or incidents</li> </ul>	o drainage or abs vities		No potential for impacts during operational phase. Information in Hydrology report shows the development will not impact on groundwaters or surface waters.		
In-combination/Other			No potential for impacts.		
(b) Describe any likely changes to the	European site:				
<ul> <li>Examples of the type of changes to give consideration to include:</li> <li>Reduction or fragmentation of habitat area</li> <li>Disturbance to QI species</li> <li>Habitat or species fragmentation</li> <li>Reduction or fragmentation in species density</li> <li>Changes in key indicators of conservation status value (water or air quality etc.)</li> <li>Changes to areas of sensitivity or threats to QI</li> <li>Interference with the key relationships that define the structure or ecological function of the site</li> </ul>		No changes anticipated.			
(c) Are <i>'mitigation'</i> measures necessary to reach a conclusion that likely significant effects can be ruled out at screening?					
□ Yes ⊠ No					
	eening Determir	nation Sta	tement		
STEP 4. Screening Determination Statement					
The assessment of significance of effects: Describe how the proposed development (alone or in-combination) is/is <b>not likely</b> to have <b>significant</b> effects on European site(s) in view of its conservation objectives.					
The proposed development is not likely to have significant effects.					
Conclusion:					
	Tick as	Recomn	nendation:		

	Appropriate:			
<ul> <li>(i) It is clear that there is no likelihood of significant effects on a European site.</li> </ul>		The proposal can be screened out: Appropriate assessment not required.		
<ul> <li>(ii) It is uncertain whether the proposal will have a significant effect on a European site.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Request further information to complete screening</li> <li>Request NIS</li> <li>Refuse planning permission</li> </ul>		
(iii) Significant effects are likely.		<ul> <li>Request NIS</li> <li>Refuse planning permission</li> </ul>		
Signature and Date of Recommending Officer:	finain ( 5 E.E	Balad Date: 17/2/2023		
EIA Pre-Screening Establishing a development is a 'sub-threshold development'				
File Reference:     Fethard Graveyard Part 8				

Graveyard

Yes, no further action required

Yes the project is listed in Schedule 5, Part 2 and meets/exceeds the threshold, specify class (including threshold):

Yes the project is of a type listed **but** is *sub-threshold*:

Class 10 b) iv), Part 2 of Schedule 5

**Development Summary:** 

out under Section 176A-C?

Was a Screening Determination carried

(iv) Urban development which would involve an area greater than 2 hectares in the case of a business district, 10 hectares in the

**EIA** is mandatory

Lawn Cemetery and Associated Works adjoining Fethard

No Screening required

Proceed to Part C

case of other parts of a built-up area and 20 hectares elsewhere.				
Project site is 0.97 ha. This is significantly below 20ha threshold.				
C. If Yes, has Schedule 7A information/screening report been submitted?				
Yes, Schedule 7A information/screening report has been submitted	Screening Determination required			
No, Schedule 7A information/screening report has not been submitted	Preliminary Examination required			

EIA Preliminary Examination:			
The planning authority shall carry out a preliminary examination of, at the <b>least, the nature, size or location of the development.</b>			
	Comment:	Yes/No/ Uncertain:	
Nature of the development:	Development small in scale and not exceptional in the context of the existing environment.	Νο	
Is the nature of the proposed development exceptional in the context of the existing environment?	Development does not result in production of waste, emissions or pollutants.		
<i>Will the development result in the production of any significant waste, or result in significant emissions or pollutants?</i>	Environmental effects associated with decay of buried remains considered to be low due to slow timescale for this process and low intensity of this process.		

Size of the development	:			No
Is the size of the proposed development exceptional <i>in the context of the existing</i> <i>environment</i> ?		-	nent small in cumulative ise.	
Are there cumulative considerations having regard to other existing and/or permitted projects?				
Location:	Location:		Site is not No	
Is the proposed development located on, in, adjoining or does it have the potential to impact on an ecologically sensitive site or location?		environmentally sensitive.		
Does the proposed development have the potential to affect other significant environmental sensitivities in the area?				
	Preliminary Examination Conclusion:			
Based on a preliminary examination of the <b>nature</b> , <b>size or location</b> of the development. (Tick as appropriate)				
<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
There is <b>no real</b> <b>likelihood</b> of significant effects on the environment.	There is <b>real likelihood</b> <b>of</b> significant effects on the environment.		There is <b>significant and realistic</b> <b>doubt</b> regarding the likelihood of significant effects on the environment.	
EIA is not required.	An <b>EIAR is required</b> .		Request the applicant to submit the <b>Information specified in</b> <b>Schedule 7A</b> for the purposes of a screening determination.	
			Proceed to Screening Determination.	